

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA


Securities and Exchange Commission,
Plaintiff,

v.

Complete Business Solutions Group, Inc., et al.,
Defendants.

Case No. 20cv81205RAR

Judge Ruiz



**NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION FOR
LEAVE- TO FILE LATE RECEIVERSHIP
CLAIMS**

Movant **Michael Laude**, appearing **pro se**, respectfully move this Court for an Order granting leave to file late claims in the **PAR Funding Receivership** and directing the Receiver to add Movant to the approved claims list.

This Motion is based on (i) lack of actual or constructive notice of the claims bar date, (ii) prompt action upon discovery of the claims process, and (iii) this Court's broad equitable authority to ensure fair treatment of defrauded investors.

This Motion is supported by the accompanying Affidavit and Memorandum of Law, and upon all pleadings and proceedings in this action.

AFFIDAVIT OF MICHAEL LAUDE IN SUPPORT

I, **Michael Laude**, hereby affirm under penalty of perjury as follows:

1. I am a Movant in this matter and submit this affidavit based on personal knowledge.
2. I submit this affidavit on behalf of myself, I invested **\$630,000**, into **PAR Funding**.
3. These investments were made through **AG Morgan Financial Advisors** and **Vincent Camarda**, who has since **pleaded guilty to securities fraud** arising from this scheme.
4. The invested funds represented the **life savings** of myself and my family.

5. I never received **any notice** of the PAR Funding Receivership claims procedure or bar date.
6. I was **unaware of the receivership or claims process until January 2026**.
7. Our lack of notice resulted from reliance on a financial advisor who engaged in **criminal misconduct** and concealed material facts.
8. Immediately upon discovering the existence of the claims process, we acted **promptly and diligently** to seek relief from this Court.
9. Denial of participation would cause **severe and irreparable financial prejudice** and result in inequitable treatment compared to similarly situated victims.

I affirm under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States that the foregoing is true and correct.

Dated: April 25, 2026

/s/ Michael Laude
Michael Laude

MEMORANDUM OF LAW IN SUPPORT

I. THE COURT HAS BROAD EQUITABLE AUTHORITY OVER RECEIVERSHIP CLAIMS

Federal courts overseeing SEC receiverships possess **extraordinarily broad equitable powers** to fashion relief that ensures fairness and justice among defrauded investors. The Eleventh Circuit has expressly held that district courts have wide discretion to manage claims administration and distribution in receiverships. *SEC v. Elliott*, 953 F.2d 1560, 1566 (11th Cir. 1992). [[case-law.vlex.com](https://www.vlex.com/vid/sec-v-elliott-11th-cir-1992)]

This authority includes the power to consider and allow latefiled claims where equity demands inclusion and where no undue prejudice results to the estate.

II. LATE CLAIMS MAY BE ALLOWED WHERE CLAIMANTS LACKED NOTICE

Due process requires that claimants receive **notice reasonably calculated** to apprise them of claims procedures and bar dates. *SEC v. Elliott*, 953 F.2d at 1566 (recognizing that fairness and adequate notice are fundamental in receivership proceedings). [[case-law.vlex.com](https://www.vlex.com/vid/sec-v-elliott-11th-cir-1992)]

Where a claimant **lacks actual notice**, courts routinely permit late claims. The Supreme Court's excusable neglect framework in *Pioneer Investment Services Co. v. Brunswick Associates Ltd. Partnership*, 507 U.S. 380 (1993), is instructive and routinely applied in receivership contexts. [[supreme.justia.com](https://www.supremecourt.gov/opinions/95pdf/1993/93-0811.pdf)]

Under *Pioneer*, courts weigh:

- The danger of prejudice to the estate,
- The length of the delay and its impact on proceedings,
- The reason for the delay (including lack of notice), and
- Whether the movant acted in good faith.

Each factor favors Movants here.

III. MOVANTS SATISFY THE EXCUSABLE NEGLIGENCE STANDARD

First, **no prejudice** will result from permitting Movants' claims because distributions have not yet been finalized and inclusion will merely place them among similarly situated victims.

Second, the delay was caused **solely by lack of notice**, compounded by reliance on an intermediary who committed criminal fraud—circumstances well beyond Movants' control.

Third, Movants acted **immediately upon discovery** of the receivership claims process, evidencing diligence and good faith.

Fourth, courts have consistently declined to penalize innocent investors for errors, omissions, or misconduct committed by financial advisors or intermediaries. *Pioneer*, 507 U.S. at 396–97 (clients should not automatically suffer for counsel's mistakes, particularly where notice was unclear or unavailable). [supreme.justia.com]

IV. EQUITY FAVORS INCLUSION OF ALL DEFRAUDED INVESTORS

A core purpose of SEC receiverships is the **equitable treatment of similarly situated victims**. Excluding Movants—who invested millions and lacked notice—would unjustly enrich other claimants and undermine parity in distribution.

Courts supervising SEC receiverships consistently emphasize fairness over rigid procedural forfeiture. See *SEC v. Forex Asset Mgmt. LLC*, 242 F.3d 325, 331 (5th Cir. 2001) (affirming trial courts' discretion to ensure equitable treatment of victims). Although from another circuit, this reasoning has been widely followed and is consistent with Eleventh Circuit principles. [casemine.com]

CONCLUSION

For the foregoing reasons, Movant **Michael Laude** respectfully request that this Court:

1. Grant leave to file late claims in the PAR Funding Receivership;
2. Direct the Receiver to add Movant to the approved claims list;

3. Grant such other and further relief as the Court deems just and proper;
4. And for the Court to make Pro rata distribution based on each investor's investment.

Michael Laude

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'M. Laude', with a long horizontal flourish extending to the right.

41 Saint Marks Place Massapequa NY 11758

516-906-7592

Mlaude85@gmail.com

"Pro Se"

Certificate of Service

I, Michael Laude, certify that on April 25, 2026, I served this motion to Mr. Tim Kolaya via email.



Tim Kolaya

Stumphauzer Kolaya Nadler & Sloman, PLLC

2 S Biscayne Blvd Ste 1600

Miami, FL 33131-1824

305-614-1425

tkolaya@sknlaw.com



Michael Laude

Summary of Fund Interest: 9/10/2024

Name of Account	Initial Start Date	Maturity Date	Balance	Monthly Interest	Total Interest in Arrears through September 10, 2024	Interest to Maturity	Total through Maturity
Michael: AGM Capital Fund I - NQ (1) - 14% - 12 month term	12/10/2019	12/17/2024	\$630,000.00	\$7,350.00	\$73,500.00	\$22,050.00	\$725,550.00
Michael: Windsor Capital Fund II - NQ (2) - 11% - 6 month term	1/25/2021	2/2/2025	\$45,000.00	\$412.50	\$3,712.50	\$2,062.50	\$50,775.00
Michael: Windsor Capital Fund II - NQ (3) - 11% - 6 month term	3/10/2021	3/17/2025	\$50,000.00	\$458.33	\$2,291.67	\$2,750.00	\$55,041.67
Michael: Windsor Capital Fund II - NQ (4) - 11% - 6 month term	11/10/2021	11/17/2024	\$30,000.00	\$275.00	\$1,375.00	\$550.00	\$31,925.00
Michael: Windsor Capital Fund II - NQ (5) - 11% - 6 month term	9/25/2022	10/2/2024	\$25,000.00	\$229.17	\$2,062.50	\$229.17	\$27,291.67
Total			\$780,000.00	\$8,725.00	\$82,941.67	\$27,641.67	\$890,583.34

This summary statement is in addition to any or all statements you receive from your custodian and for any non-proprietary companies. This summary was prepared manually by your advisor A.G. Morgan Financial Advisors, LLC to provide an estimated overview of your account values and its content may not be accurate. You must rely on the statements you receive directly from the investment companies. Market values do not include any fees, charges or incorporate any tax consequences that may be taken at the time of redemption or liquidation. Alternative investment products, including notes & derivatives, involve a high degree of risk, often engage in leveraging and other speculative investment practices that may increase the risk of investment loss, can be highly illiquid, are not required to provide periodic pricing or valuation information to investors, may involve complex tax structures and delays in distributing important tax information, are not subject to the same regulatory requirements as mutual funds and the underlying investments are not transparent and are known only to the investment manager. Alternative investment performance can be volatile. An investor could lose all or a substantial amount of his or her investment. There may be restrictions on transferring interests in any alternative investment. Illiquid Private Placement investment products traded herein are not FDIC insured or bank guaranteed and may lose value. Past performance is not indicative of future results. A.G. Morgan, and its employees do not provide any tax, accounting or legal advice. You should always consult with a qualified professional in those specific areas for your investment needs. Investment Advisory Services offered through A.G. Morgan Financial Advisors, LLC, a SEC Registered Investment Advisor. Sources used to prepare this summary were:

Account values are shown as of 9/10/2024

Handwritten: 20,000

Handwritten: 400

Handwritten: 312,000

Handwritten: 150,000

Handwritten: 462,000

Handwritten: 100,000

Mr. & Mrs. Laude

143 Fairview Rd
Massapequa, NY 11758

MID-ISLAND NY 117

28 APR 2026 PM 5 L


W. J. Clerk of the Court
Wilkie D. Ferguson, Jr. U.S. Courthouse
400 North Miami Avenue
Miami, FL 33128

REC'D BY _____ D.C.

MAY 04 2026

ANGELA E. NOBLE
CLERK U.S. DIST. CT.
S.D. OF FLA. - MIAMI

33128-181214



==