UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA FORT LAUDERDALE DIVISION

CASE NO.: 20-CV-81205-RAR

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION,

Plaintiff,

v.

COMPLETE BUSINESS SOLUTIONS GROUP, INC. d/b/a PAR FUNDING, et al.

Defendants	•	

DANIEL SCARAMELLINO, ONE NINE COUNTRY DRIVE, LLC, AND MICHELLE SCARAMELLINO'S UNOPPOSED JOINT MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME TO FILE RESPONSE IN OPPOSITION TO RECEIVER'S EXPEDITED MOTION TO EXPAND RECEIVERSHIP [ECF NO. 1180]

Interested Parties, Daniel Scaramellino, 19 Country Drive, LLC, and Michelle Scaramellino (collectively, "Responding Parties"), through their undersigned counsel, due to unforeseen technical circumstances, hereby jointly file this unopposed Motion for Extension of Time to File Response to *Receiver, Ryan K. Stumphauzer's Expedited Motion to Expand the Receivership to Include 19 Country Drive, Morristown, NJ 07960 [ECF No. 1180]* (the "Expedited Motion"), and state as follows:

- 1. Pursuant to the Court's original order (Doc. No. 1182), the Responding Parties were to file their response to the Expedited Motion on March 24, 2022.
- 2. On about March 24, 2022, The Receiver and the Responding Parties had reached an agreement in principle to an escrow procedure regarding the proceeds of the sale of the New Jersey Property, with the intent of providing the parties a 30-day opportunity to attempt reach a settlement regarding the Motion to Expedite. The Respondents worked for hours on attempting

to reach the agreement, however, due to information learned by Receiver's counsel at about 11:45 p.m. or so on March 24, 2022, Receiver's counsel indicated that the Receiver needs to discuss the issues further and determine how to proceed. Thus, the Responding Parties filed their First Motion for Extension to File their Response (the "Motion for Extension") (Doc. No. 1193).

- 3. On April 1, 2022, the Responding Parties filed their Second Unopposed Motion for Extension, seeking 7 additional days to file their response (Doc. No. 1204) (the "Second Motion for Extension"), to which the Court granted on April 1, 2022 (Doc. No. 1205).
- 4. On April 8, 2022, the Receiver and the Responding Parties reached a partial agreement allowing for the sale of the Property, but with funds to be held in escrow pending resolution of the remaining Motion to Expand Receivership and/or a global settlement. The parties filed their Joint Motion to Amend/Correct the original Order and seeking extension of time to respond to the Motion to Expand (Doc. No. 1208) (the "Joint Motion to Modify").
- 5. On April 9, 2022, the Court entered its Order Granting the Joint Motion to Modify (Doc. No. 1209).
- 6. On May 10, 2022, the Court entered an order Granting the Joint Expedited Motion (doc 1228) for a 7-day extension of time to respond to the Motion to Expand (doc. 1229), which currently sets the deadline for the Responding Parties to file their responses to May 16, 2022.
- 7. On May 16, 2022, the Responding Parties filed their unopposed motion for a 14-day extension (doc. 1243) to respond to the Motion to Expand, particularly since Scaramellino had just provided submitted, which the Court granted (see Doc. 1244).
- 8. On May 31, 2022, the Responding Parties filed their unopposed Motion for 21-day extension (doc. 1258) to respond to the Motion to Expand, to allow the Trustee time to

complete due diligence on Scaramellino's global settlement offer and related materials, to which motion the Court granted (see doc. 1259).

- 9. On June 21, 2022, the Responding Parties filed their Motion for 7 day extension (doc. 1277) in order to finalize their response after settlement efforts waned, to which the court granted (See Doc. 1278)
- 10. Thus, based on the current order, the Responding Parties' deadline to file their response to the Motion to Expand is June 28, 2022.
- 11. Counsel for 19 Country Drive LLC and Michelle Scaramellino, James D. Silver, Esq., flew out of town for a hearing. Due to unforeseen technical issues, Mr. Silver has no access to his firm's document system, and thus unable to complete and file the Response. Thus, two additional days are needed to allow Mr. Silver sufficient time to return to Miami, Florida, resolve all technical issues, gain access to his firm document system to adequately complete the Response to the Motion to Expend. As a result, the Responding Parties require a 2-day extension of their response deadline.
- 12. In view of the circumstances, the Receiver has no objection to the foregoing 2-day extension as this is the final extension sought prior to the filing of the Responding Parties' responses in opposition to the Motion to Expand.
- 13. This Motion is with full reservation of all rights and arguments and is not a submission to jurisdiction.

WHEREFORE, the Responding Parties, respectfully request that this Court enter an order extending the deadline for the Responding Parties to file a response for two (2) additional days, through and including, June 30, 2022, and for such other relief as the Court deems just.

Dated: June 28, 2022

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ James D. Silver

James D. Silver, Florida Bar No.: 373702 KELLEY KRONENBERG, P.A. 10360 West State Road 84 Fort Lauderdale, FL 33324 Telephone: 954-370-9970 jsilver@kelleykronenberg.com raldama@kelleykronenberg.com Counsel for 19 Country Drive, LLC and Michelle Scaramellino

and

/s/ Katie Brinson Hinton

KATIE BRINSON HINTON, ESQ. Florida Bar No. 0022367

Jennis Morse Etlinger
606 E. Madison Street
Tampa, FL 33602
Telephone: (813) 229-2800
khinton@jennislaw.com
ecf@jennislaw.com

Attorney for Daniel Scaramellino

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that on June 28, 2022, a true and correct copy of the foregoing was filed with the Clerk of the Court using CM/ECF.

/s/Katie Brinson Hinton
Katie Brinson Hinton