## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA FORT LAUDERDALE DIVISION

**CASE NO.: 20-CV-81205-RAR** 

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION,

Plaintiff,

v.

COMPLETE BUSINESS SOLUTIONS GROUP, INC. d/b/a PAR FUNDING, et al.

Defendar	nts.		

DANIEL SCARAMELLINO, ONE NINE COUNTRY DRIVE, LLC. AND MICHELLE SCARAMELLINO'S UNOPPOSED JOINT MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME TO FILE RESPONSE IN OPPOSITION TO RECEIVER'S EXPEDITED MOTION TO EXPAND RECEIVERSHIP [ECF NO. 1180]

Interested Parties, Daniel Scaramellino, 19 Country Drive, LLC. and Michelle Scaramellino (collectively, "Responding Parties"), through their undersigned counsel, hereby jointly file this unopposed Motion for Extension of Time to File Response to *Receiver, Ryan K. Stumphauzer's Expedited Motion to Expand the Receivership to Include 19 Country Drive, Morristown, NJ 07960 [ECF No. 1180]* (the "Expedited Motion"), and states as follows:

- 1. Pursuant to the Court's original order (Doc. No. 1182), the Responding Parties were to file their response to the Expedited Motion on March 24, 2022.
- 2. On about March 24, 2022, The Receiver and the Responding Parties had reached an agreement in principle to an escrow procedure regarding the proceeds of the sale of the New Jersey Property, with the intent of providing the parties a 30-day opportunity to attempt reach a settlement regarding the Motion to Expedite. The Respondents worked for hours on attempting to reach the agreement, however, due to information learned by Receiver's counsel at about

11:45 p.m. or so on March 24, 2022, Receiver's counsel indicated that the Receiver needs to discuss the issues further and determine how to proceed. Thus, the Responding Parties filed their First Motion for Extension to File their Response (the "Motion for Extension") (Doc. No. 1193).

- 3. On April 1, 2022, the Responding Parties filed their Second Unopposed Motion for Extension, seeking 7 additional days to file their response (Doc. No. 1204) (the "Second Motion for Extension"), to which the Court granted on April 1, 2022 (Doc. No. 1205).
- 4. On April 8, 2022, the Receiver and the Responding Parties reached a partial agreement allowing for the sale of the Property, but with funds to be held in escrow pending resolution of the remaining Motion to Expand Receivership and/or a global settlement. The parties filed their Joint Motion to Amend/Correct the original Order and seeking extension of time to respond to the Motion to Expand (Doc. No. 1208) (the "Joint Motion to Modify").
- 5. On April 9, 2022, the Court entered its Order Granting the Joint Motion to Modify (Doc. No. 1209). Currently, per the Court's Order Granting the Motion to Modify, the current deadline for the Responding Parties to file a response is now May 9, 2022.
- 6. Since the Order Granting Joint Motion to Modify, the Responding Parties have spent time and resources in ensuring the sale of the Property is consummated.
- 7. In the past 7 days, now that the sale of the Property has been completed, Mr. Scaramellino has also been gathering information needed to further settlement discussions. Additional time is needed to obtain additional information related to the viability of a global settlement between Mr. Scaramellino and the Receiver related to the underlying breach of contract claims pending.

8. As a result, the Responding Parties require a 7-day extension of their response

deadline. This will provide time to see whether the agreement in principle can be salvaged or to

otherwise finalize their response.

9. In view of the circumstances, the Receiver has no objection to the foregoing 7-day

extension.

10. If a global settlement cannot be reached with the Receiver, and as will be set out

in more detail in their responses, the Responding Parties continue to oppose the Expedited

Motion on numerous grounds, including due process and jurisdiction, among others, and would

request an evidentiary hearing and a right to full discovery. This Motion is with full reservation

of all rights and arguments and is not a submission to jurisdiction.

WHEREFORE, the Responding Parties, respectfully request that this Court enter an order

extending the deadline for the Responding Parties to file a response for seven (7) additional days,

through and including, May 16, 2022, and for such other relief as the Court deems just.

Dated: May 9, 2022

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ James D. Silver

James D. Silver, Florida Bar No.: 373702

KELLEY KRONENBERG, P.A.

10360 West State Road 84

Fort Lauderdale, FL 33324

Telephone: 954-370-9970

*jsilver@kelleykronenberg.com* 

raldama@kelleykronenberg.com

Counsel for 19 Country Drive, LLC and

Michelle Scaramellino

and

3

{00393921.DOC}

/s/ Katie Brinson Hinton
KATIE BRINSON HINTON, ESQ.
Florida Bar No. 0022367

Jennis Morse Etlinger
606 E. Madison Street
Tampa, FL 33602
Telephone: (813) 229-2800
khinton@jennislaw.com
ecf@jennislaw.com
Attorney for Daniel Scaramellino

## **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

**I HEREBY CERTIFY** that on May 9, 2022, a true and correct copy of the foregoing was filed with the Clerk of the Court using CM/ECF.

/s/Katie Brinson Hinton
Katie Brinson Hinton