# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA

Case No. 20-CV-81205-RAR

### SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION,

Plaintiff,

v.

COMPLETE BUSINESS SOLUTIONS GROUP, INC. d/b/a PAR FUNDING, et al.,

D	efendants.	
		/

DEFENDANTS LAFORTE, MCELHONE, AND COLE'S MOTION TO DESIGNATE THEIR MOTION TO STRIKE AND ALTERNATIVE MOTION TO BE RELIEVED FROM CONSENTS AND JUDGEMENTS [D.E. 1224] AS AN EXPEDITED MOTION, AND REQUEST FOR AN EXPEDITED RULING

Defendants, Joseph LaForte, Lisa McElhone, and Joseph Cole Barleta (the "Defendants"), pursuant to Local Rule 7.1(d), move for an expedited ruling on their Motion to Strike Ponzi Allegations from Plaintiff's Omnibus Motion for Final Judgments, or in the Alternative, Motion to Withdraw/Be Relieved from their Consents, Vacate the Judgement of Permanent Injunction and Other Relief, and to Return the Case to the Active Docket (the "Subject Motion") [D.E. 1224] and as support state as follows:

- 1. On May 4, 2022, Defendants filed the Subject Motion requesting that the Court strike unpled and unproven allegations of a Ponzi scheme from Plaintiff's Omnibus Motion for Final Judgments [D.E. 1214], or alternatively, relieve the Defendants from their Consents and the Judgment of Permanent Injunction and Other Relief entered against each of them [D.E. 1007 to 1010 and 1017 to 1018] and restore this case to the trial docket so that they may have their day in Court.
- 2. As set forth in the Subject Motion, Plaintiff's unpled and unproven Ponzi scheme allegations are extremely prejudicial to the Defendants. If the Subject Motion is denied, the

Defendants will need to conduct extensive discovery related to the Ponzi scheme allegations, and will dedicate significant time and resources to rebutting these allegations in their Response to Plaintiff's Omnibus Motion for Final Judgments – which must be filed on or before July 1, 2022.

- 3. Conversely, if the Court grants the Subject Motion and strikes the Plaintiff's Ponzi scheme allegations, Defendants will not need to respond to Plaintiff's Ponzi scheme allegations. Similarly, if the Court grants the Defendants' request for alternative relief (*viz.*, if the Court relieves the Defendants from their Consents and Judgments and restores this case to the active docket) then no response to the Plaintiff's Omnibus Motion for Final Judgments will be required at all.
- 4. For these reasons, it is in the interest of the parties and the Court to obtain a ruling on the Subject Motion well in advance of the July 1, 2022 deadline for Defendants' Response to Plaintiff's Omnibus Motion for Final Judgments. At the same time, Defendants are mindful that the parties require time to fully and adequately brief the issues raised in the Subject Motion, and that the Court needs time to analyze these issues and rule.
- 5. In consideration of these factors, the Defendants respectively request that the Court issue an expedited ruling on the Subject Motion on or before June 15, 2022.

# S.D. Fla L. R. 7.1(a)(3) Certification of Counsel

Counsel for the Defendants hereby certify that we have conferred with Amie Berlin, counsel for the SEC, and were informed that the SEC opposes the relief sought in this Motion.

# KOPELOWITZ OSTROW FERGUSON WEISELBERG GILBERT

Attorneys for Joseph W. LaForte One W. Las Olas Blvd., Suite 500 Fort Lauderdale, Florida 33301 Tel: (954) 525-4100

By: /s/ David L. Ferguson

DAVID L. FERGUSON
Florida Bar Number: 0981737
Ferguson@kolawyers.com
JOSHUA R. LEVINE
Florida Bar Number: 91807
Levine@kolawyers.com

#### KAPLAN ZEENA LLP

Attorneys for Defendant Lisa McElhone 2 South Biscayne Boulevard, Suite 3050 Miami, Florida 33131 Telephone: (305) 530-0800 Facsimile: (305) 530-0801

By: /s/ James M. Kaplan

JAMES M. KAPLAN
Florida Bar No.: 921040
james.kaplan@kaplanzeena.com
elizabeth.salom@kaplanzeena.com
service@kaplanzeena.com
NOAH E. SNYDER
Florida Bar No.: 107415
noah.snyder@kaplanzeena.com
maria.escobales@kaplanzeena.com

### **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I HEREBY CERTIFY that on this 6<sup>th</sup> day of May, 2022, I electronically filed the forgoing document with the clerk of the Court using CM/ECF. I also certify that the foregoing document is being served this day on counsel of record via transmissions of Notices of Electronic Filing generated by CM/ECF.

By: /s/ James M. Kaplan
JAMES M. KAPLAN